Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,036	SHIMOZONO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Greg Bengzon	2144	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		<u> </u>
(1) <u>Greg Bengzon</u> .	(3)		
(2) Chun-Pok Leung, Reg. No. 41405	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>30 May 2006</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
respondently discussed.			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The e of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary to 	examiner will provide a written	summary of the	substance
WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JA PRIMARY EXAMINER			
/B :	oplicant's Representative Sign	ature – if approp	riate)
	•	11.56	,

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon reeiving authorization from SPE William Vaughn, Examiner Bengzon discussed possibility of incorporating Claims 17 into Claim 1, with some language from Claim 19. Also discussed incorporating Claim 22 into Claim 21. The Attorney agreed to proposed Claim amendments and to an Examiner's Amendment in order to process allowance of said Claims..